## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

| Case No. 2:22-cv-03166-SSS-Ex   |  | Date             | July 27, 2022        |
|---|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Title Beverly Hills Regional Center, L.P. v. National Elevator Industry Health Benefit Plan, et al. |  |                  |                      |
|   |  |                  |                      |
| Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT                                    |  | S DISTRICT JUDGE |                      |
|   |  |                  |                      |
| Irene Vazquez   |  | Not Reported     |                      |
| Irene Vazquez   |  | Not 1            | Reported             |
| Irene Vazquez  Deputy Clerk   |  |                  | Reported<br>Reporter |

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS COURT'S REASSIGNMENT ORDER

On June 24, 2022, the Court entered its Reassignment Order (the "Order") [Dkt. 14], that 1) informed the parties of the case reassignment; 2) provided this Court's location; 3) provided the parties vital information on previously scheduled deadlines and dates and how this Court would be addressing those deadlines and dates; 4) directed each party to review and become familiar with any all applicable standing orders; and 5) ordered the parties to file a joint case management statement within fifteen days from the date of the Order. As of today's date, the parties have failed to file the Court-ordered case management statement.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiff—Jonathan Aaron Stieglitz—and counsel for Defendant—Laurie A. Traktman and Benjamin M. O'Donnell—to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to comply with the Court's Reassignment Order.

Counsel is required to respond in writing on or before **August 10, 2022**, at 12:00 noon. Counsel's failure to respond, or counsel's filing of an unsatisfactory response, may result in the imposition of sanctions against counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.